

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/802,734	Applicant(s) STETZLER ET AL.	
	Examiner Jean B. Corrielus	Art Unit 2611	

All Participants:

(1) Jean B. Corrielus.

(2) William W. Holloway.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 October 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

September

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11, 6, 18, 25, 26, 14

Prior art documents discussed:

US Patent No. 6865233

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agrees to amend the claims as indicated in the attached examiner's amendment. In addition it was agreed that US reference 6865233 renders claims 25 and 26 unpatentable and therefore have been cancelled. Applicant also agrees to cancel claims 11 and 14 because the claims do not reflect applicant's comment made in the response filed 5/25/07, page 13, lines 16-27..